



8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UNITED STATES OF } Case No. ED 09-256 M
12 AMERICA, }
13 Plaintiff, }
14 vs. }
15 CORTNEY VOGELMAN }
16 Defendant. }

17
18 The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued
19 by the United States District Court for the _____ for
20 alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] [supervised
21 release]; and

22 The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of
23 Criminal Procedure 32.1 (a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (a),

24 The Court finds that:

25 A. (✓) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and
26 convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. §
27 3142 (b) or (c). This finding is based on UNKNOWN BAIL RESOURCES
28 • Alleged Offense / Supervised Release Violation

1 • ABSCONDED FROM SUPERVISION
2 • RECENT DRUG USE

3 and/or

4 B. (✓) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and
5 convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any
6 other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (b) or (c). This
7 finding is based on:

8 • Criminal History
9
10
11

12 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the
13 further revocation proceedings.

14
15 DATED: 11/9/09

16
17
18 
19 DAVID T. BRISTOW
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE